IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

MONDAY, THE 29TH OCTOBER 2007 / 7TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 29388 of 2007(W)

PETITIONER:

SAJU, AGED 40 YEARS,
S/O.MATHAI, NADAMKUZHI HOUSE,
RAYAMANGALAM VILLAGE, PULLUVAZHIKARA,
KUNNATHUNDADU TALUK, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.SIRAJ KAROLY

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE VILLAGE OFFICER,**
   RAYAMANGALAM VILLAGE, VILLAGE OFFICE,
   P.O.PULLUVAZHI.

2. **THE TALUK TAHSILDAR,**
   KUNNATHUNADU TALUK OFFICE,
   PERUMBAVOOR.

3. **THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,**
   KURUPPUMPADY.

4. **THE DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,**
   ERNAKULAM RURAL, ALUVA-1.

5. **THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,**
   MUVATTUPUZHA, P.O. MUVATTUPUZHA.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. BENAJAMIN PAUL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 29/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

KSS

WPC.NO.29388/2007 W

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: **COPY OF THE TITLE DEED OF S.R.O., KUDUPPUMPADY DTD. 23/06/2005.**

EXT.P2: **COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT DTD. 19/08/2005.**

EXT.P2(A): **COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPTS DTD. 7/04/2007.**

EXT.P3: **COPY OF THE PHOTOGRAPH SHOWING THE LIE OF THE PROPERTY.**

EXT.P4: **COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DTD. 20/09/2007 TO THE 5TH RESPONDENT.**

/TRUE COPY/

P.S.TO JUDGE

KSS

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No. 29388 OF 2007 W
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 29th October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner claims that he wants to level the land belonging to him which are covered by Exts. P1 and P2 documents. It is his contention that while doing so, he was obstructed by respondents 1 and 3 on the ground that he had not obtained permission from the respondents under the Kerala Land Utilisation Order.

2. Learned Govt. Pleader, on instruction, submits that what the petitioner intends to do is only development the land as stated by him, the respondents have no objection.

3. Petitioner reiterates that all that he intends to do is levelling work alone, utilising machineries that are required. In view of the submission that the respondents will not obstruct such developmental work, I dispose of this writ petition recording the submission of both sides.

Writ petition is closed.

ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE

jan/-